

Write a Cover Letter That Helps: what the editor actually reads in the first minute

5 MIN READ

The cover letter is the first thing an editor reads and the piece authors put the least thought into. That is backwards. In the first minute of triage, before anyone opens the manuscript, the letter tells the editor what the paper claims, why it belongs in this journal, and whether the person who wrote it is careful. A recycled letter with the wrong journal name in it answers that last question all by itself.

A cover letter cannot get a weak paper accepted. It can get a strong paper read in the right frame, and it can lose you the first thirty seconds if it is sloppy. Here is what to put in it, what to leave out, a worked example of the paragraph that matters most, and a one-page skeleton you can fill in, drawn from the pre-submission reviews we run across every field.

01 Know what the letter is for

It is not a sales pitch and it is not a summary of the abstract. It is a routing note to a busy editor: here is what this is, here is why it is yours, here is that I have done this properly.

- The editor is deciding one thing from the letter: is this worth my reviewers' time and does it belong here. Write to that decision, not to a general audience.
- Everything in the letter should help the editor place the paper faster. Anything that does not, cut.
- Keep it to one page. A letter that runs long reads as an author who could not find the point, which is exactly the worry the editor already has about the paper.

02 Open with the three facts the editor needs

The first short paragraph does the mechanical work: journal, article type, and the one-sentence contribution. No windup.

- Name the journal, correctly, and the article type you are submitting. Address it to the editor role the journal uses. Verify the current editor on the journal's editorial-team page before you put a name on it, and if you are not certain, the role title is safer than a wrong name.
- State your contribution in one sentence: "We show that X." Not the topic you studied, the thing you found.
- Say plainly that the work is original, not published elsewhere, and not under review at another journal. Editors look for this line and notice when it is missing.

03 Make the fit paragraph specific to this journal

This is the paragraph that separates a real submission from a mass mailing. Say why this journal, in terms a reader of this journal would recognize. Do not flatter it.

- Point to the conversation your paper joins in this journal, not the impact factor. Editors read a hundred letters calling their journal prestigious; it is noise, and it signals you have nothing specific to say about fit.
- If you can name the kind of reader who needs this result, and that reader is this journal's reader, say so. Fit is about audience, and the editor is the audience's proxy.

IN PRACTICE

WEAK

We are confident that our manuscript will be of great interest to the readers of your prestigious and highly regarded journal.

STRONGER

This paper extends the work your journal published on adaptive trial designs, and it answers the open question those studies left about calibration at small sample sizes.

04 State the contribution honestly, then stop

One paragraph on what is new and why it matters, scoped to what your results can actually defend. This is where overclaiming quietly kills the letter.

- Say what is new in a sentence a skeptic would sign off on. "First to show" only survives if you have checked it, and an editor who catches the overclaim here reads the whole paper more skeptically.
- Explain why the finding matters to the field, concretely: what someone can do, know, or rule out now that they could not before.
- Resist previewing every result. The letter frames the paper; it does not replace it. Two or three sentences of contribution is plenty.

05 Handle the housekeeping the journal expects

Journals ask for specific things in or alongside the cover letter. Missing them reads as carelessness and sometimes triggers an automatic return before an editor even looks.

- Suggested and opposed reviewers, if the journal invites them. Suggest people who know the subfield and are not your co-authors or recent collaborators; the editor will check.
- Any required statements the journal names: prior presentation of the work, related submissions, funding, or a data-availability note if it belongs here.
- Corresponding author details and a line offering to provide anything else needed. Small, but it closes the letter as someone easy to work with.

06 Fill in the skeleton

This is the whole letter, in four short paragraphs. Paste it, replace the brackets, and cut anything that does not apply.

- Four paragraphs, one page. The structure below is the one editors are used to reading, which is exactly why it works.

A one-page cover-letter skeleton

- ☐ Opening: "Dear [editor role, verified on the journal's site], we are submitting [article type], titled [title], for consideration at [journal]. The work is original, has not been published elsewhere, and is not under review at another journal."
- ☐ Contribution: "In this paper we show [one-sentence finding]. [One or two sentences on why it matters, concretely, to this journal's readers.]"
- ☐ Fit: "We believe [journal] is the right home because [specific link to work this journal publishes, or the audience this result serves]."
- ☐ Housekeeping: "[Suggested or opposed reviewers if invited. Any required statements. Corresponding author details.] We would be glad to provide anything further. Sincerely, [authors]."

Dear [editor role, verified on the journal's site],

We are submitting [article type], titled [title], for consideration at [journal]. The work is original, has not been published elsewhere, and is not under review at another journal.

In this paper we show [one-sentence finding]. [One or two sentences on why it matters, concretely, to this journal's readers.]

We believe [journal] is the right home because [specific link to work this journal publishes, or the audience this result serves].

[Suggested or opposed reviewers if invited. Any required statements. Corresponding author details.] We would be glad to provide anything further.

Sincerely,
[authors]

Read it aloud once before it goes. If any sentence sounds like it could be pasted into a letter for a different journal, it is not doing its job.

THE NEXT STEP

A template gets the shape right. The Academy gets your paper right.

A skeleton makes the letter well-formed. What it cannot do is tell you whether your one-sentence contribution actually holds, whether your fit paragraph is true, or whether the paper behind the letter is ready for the journal you named, because that read comes from seeing how these submissions land. That is what the Academy does, on your own manuscript: it checks the letter against the paper, flags the overclaim and the scope mismatch, and walks you to submission-ready, one task at a time.

Get published, one task at a time.

[See what the Academy is](#) →