

Vet the Journal: how to spot a predatory journal before it takes your paper

7 MIN READ

A predatory journal is not a low-quality journal. It is a business that collects your fee and skips the work of vetting your science. It looks official, it emails you first, and it can take your money and your paper in a single step. Once a paper is published in one, most real journals will not touch it, so the work is trapped somewhere that hurts your record instead of building it. Every field has these, and they are built to pass a quick glance.

The good news is that they share a pattern, and the pattern is easy to check once you know it. This guide gives you the tells that give a predatory journal away, a red-flag scorecard you can run on any journal in a few minutes, and the three-minute check that verifies a journal yourself in the databases that actually matter. It is grounded in how we screen references and venues in the pre-submission reviews we run across every field.

01 Predatory is a business model, not a quality grade

The instinct is to read "predatory" as "bad journal." It is the wrong frame and it will fool you. A weak but legitimate journal still runs peer review and is indexed. A predatory one takes the fee and skips the review, because skipping it is how the model makes money. You are judging behavior, not prestige.

- The consensus definition researchers use comes from Grudniewicz and colleagues, in Nature in 2019: predatory journals "prioritize self-interest at the expense of scholarship," marked by false or misleading information, a lack of transparency, and aggressive, indiscriminate solicitation.
- A real impact factor or a real-sounding name does not clear a journal. Predatory titles copy both. The tell is always the behavior: how they found you, how fast they accept, when they mention the fee, and whether the indexing they claim is real.
- Publishing in one is worse than a rejection. Your paper now counts as published, so the journals you actually wanted will decline it as prior publication, and the work sits somewhere that a hiring or tenure committee reads as a red flag.

02 The tells they all share

Predatory journals vary in the details and share a shape. You are not assessing the science of the journal; you are counting warning signs, and a few of them together is your answer. None of these alone convicts a journal, but two or more means stop and verify before you send anything.

- A fake or unverifiable impact factor. They advertise a metric you cannot find in Clarivate's Journal Citation Reports, often with an official-sounding name invented for the purpose.
- Guaranteed or near-instant acceptance. Real peer review takes weeks to months. A promise of acceptance in days is a promise that no one will read your paper.
- Fees revealed only after acceptance. A legitimate journal states its article-processing charge up front. A predatory one gets you to "yes" first, then sends the invoice.
- A fake or unverifiable editorial board, and false indexing claims. Named editors who never agreed to serve, and claims of PubMed, Scopus, or Web of Science coverage that you cannot confirm in those databases.

The red-flag scorecard: count how many apply

- ☐ An unsolicited, flattering email invited the submission.
- ☐ It advertises an impact factor you cannot find in Journal Citation Reports.
- ☐ It promises acceptance in days, or "expedited" review as a selling point.
- ☐ The publication fee appears only after they accept, not before.
- ☐ You cannot verify the editorial board or reach a named editor.
- ☐ It claims indexing (PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, DOAJ) you cannot confirm in those databases.

Zero or one, and everything else checks out: likely fine. Two or more: treat it as predatory until you have verified it yourself with the three-minute check below. Do not submit, and do not reply, while the count stands.

03 The email in your inbox is the first tell

Most predatory journals find you; you do not find them. The unsolicited invitation is the oldest signal and the easiest to spot once you stop being flattered by it. Legitimate journals rarely cold-email individual authors to submit, and they never guarantee acceptance before reading the paper.

- Read who it is addressed to and what it praises. A generic salutation, or praise for work that is not quite yours, means it went to a list, not to you.
- Watch for a journal just outside your field, a rapid-publication promise, and a fee mentioned in passing. That combination is the pattern, not a coincidence.

IN PRACTICE

A typical one opens "Dear Esteemed Researcher," congratulates you on a paper you did not write or that sits a field away from yours, invites you to submit to a journal with a broad, important-sounding name, promises publication within a week or two, and mentions a modest processing fee near the end. Every one of those is a design choice: the flattery lowers your guard, the speed is the product, and the fee is the point. A real editor does not work this way.

04 Verify the indexing yourself, in three minutes

Predatory journals lie about being indexed because indexing is the one claim that sounds authoritative and almost no author checks. Checking is faster than reading their about page, and it settles the question. Never take the journal's own word for where it is listed.

- Search the journal name directly in the authorities, not in a search engine: DOAJ for open-access journals, the Scopus source list, and the Web of Science Master Journal List. If it is biomedical, confirm it in the NLM Catalog as MEDLINE-indexed.
- If it advertises an impact factor, confirm the exact number in Journal Citation Reports, not on the journal's site. A metric that exists only on their page is invented.

The three-minute check

- ☐ Look up the journal in DOAJ, the Scopus source list, and the Web of Science Master Journal List. Note which actually list it.
- ☐ If it is biomedical, confirm MEDLINE indexing in the NLM Catalog.
- ☐ Run the journal through Think. Check. Submit., the checklist built by publishers and libraries for exactly this question.

If the journal is listed in the databases it claims, and clears Think. Check. Submit., it is real. If it claims coverage you cannot find in any of them, you have your answer.

05 The clone trap: hijacked journals

The nastiest version is a hijacked journal: a fake site that copies the name, ISSN, and look of a real, indexed journal to intercept submissions and fees. The genuine journal is fine. The site that emailed you is not, and it is trading on the real one's reputation.

- Reach the journal only through the link in the indexing database, never through a link in the email or the top of a search result. Predators buy ads and lookalike domains.
- The tells are a domain that does not match the publisher's official one, a submission address on a free email service, a newly registered site, or a request to pay an individual rather than the publisher.
- Check the title against the Retraction Watch Hijacked Journal Checker, which tracks known clones of real journals.

06 Your reference list can carry the problem too

Vetting your target journal is half the job. Reviewers increasingly check your bibliography, and a citation to a retracted paper or to an article in a hijacked or predatory journal is a mark against you that you did not know you had. It quietly tells the reviewer to trust the rest of your references less.

- Screen your bibliography before you submit, not after a reviewer finds the problem for you.
- You are looking for retractions, expressions of concern, and references that trace back to hijacked or predatory titles.

Screen your references free (Sentry)

- ☐ Paste your bibliography into our free Reference Integrity Checker at manusights.com/tools/reference-integrity.
- ☐ It flags each reference for retractions, expressions of concern, and hijacked-journal clones, using Crossref and Retraction Watch data.
- ☐ No signup, and every result is a shareable link you can keep with the manuscript.

Clear your references before you submit and one whole class of avoidable reviewer objection disappears.

WHERE TO CHECK

The databases this guide sends you to, all free and open without an account.

DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) (<https://doaj.org/>) · Search a title or ISSN to confirm an open-access journal is really indexed. A journal that claims DOAJ listing and is not here has told you what you needed to know.

Web of Science Master Journal List (<https://mjl.clarivate.com/home>) · Clarivate's own list of what Web of Science covers. This is where an impact-factor claim either checks out or does not.

Scopus source title list (<https://www.elsevier.com/products/scopus/content>) · Elsevier publishes the full Scopus title list as a download, updated monthly, including discontinued titles. Search it for the journal name rather than trusting the badge on their site.

Retraction Watch Hijacked Journal Checker (<https://retractionwatch.com/the-retraction-watch-hijacked-journal-checker/>) · A running list of clone journals that copy a real journal's title and ISSN. Check here when the journal looks legitimate but the invitation does not.

COPE member directory (<https://members.publicationethics.org/members>) · Filter by type Journal to see whether the journal is actually a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics, rather than merely displaying the logo.

THE NEXT STEP

A checklist tells you the journal is safe. It cannot tell you it is right.

Confirming a journal is legitimate is the floor, not the finish. The harder questions are whether it is the right home for your specific paper, and whether the paper is ready for the one you picked. That judgment comes from having read hundreds of manuscripts land and get rejected in your exact space, and it is what the Academy does on your own paper: it vets the venue, names the tier your work actually belongs in, and walks you from there to submission-ready, one task at a time.

Get published, one task at a time.

[See what the Academy is](#) →